

## **Scrutiny Topic Registration Form**

Fields marked with an asterisk \* are required.

•	Proposed Development of the Hungate Council Headquarters				
* Councillor registering the topic C	ouncillo	r Jenny Broo	ks		
Submitted due to an unresolved 'Cllr	Call for A	action' enquiry			
Please complete this section as thoro help Scrutiny Officers and Scrutiny M the success of any scrutiny review:					
How a review should best be undertaken given the subject Who needs to be involved What should be looked at By when it should be achieved; and Why we are doing it?					
Please describe how the proposed topic fits with 3 of the eligibility criteria attached.					
	Yes?	Policy Development & Review	Service Improvement & Delivery	Accountability of Executive Decisions	
Public Interest (ie. in terms of both proposals being in the public interest and resident perceptions)	<b>V</b>			V	
Under Performance / Service Dissatisfaction					
In keeping with corporate priorities					
Level of Risk	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	
Service Efficiency					
National/local/regional significance e. A central government priority area, concerns joint working arrangements at a local 'York' or wider regional context	_			V	

## \* Set out briefly the purpose of any scrutiny review of your proposed topic. What do you think it should achieve?

To understand the decisions made, including the withdrawal of the planning application for the Hungate site and the costs involved to date, with a view to ensuring that any future decisions regarding the new council offices development are taken in a timely and cost efficient way.

\* Please explain briefly what you think any scrutiny review of your proposed

## topic should cover.

- 1. Why was the Hungate site chosen?
- 2. Why was the location on the Hungate site chosen?
- 3. What were the initial budget and overspend estimates?
- 4. What was the selection process which led to the design of the building?
- 5. Which CYC entity acted as the internal client and why?
- 6. Was the consultation process appropriate?
- 7. Why was the final design submitted?
- \* Please indicate which other Councils, partners or external services could, in your opinion, participate in the review, saying why.

York Civic Trust & English Heritage

## \* Explain briefly how, in your opinion, such a review might be most efficiently undertaken?

The review should be taken over a short period such as two or three days in October or November (as opposed to one meeting a month for six months) and should be completed by Christmas.

Estimate the timescale for completion.	1-3 months 3-6 months 6-9 months
Support documents or other useful information	
None	

Date submitted: Wednesday, 16th July, 2008, 11.50 am

Submitted by: Barbara Matthews on behalf of Cllr Jenny Brooks